SECRET

Edi	JELT	
For		

(b) (1

(b) (2) (b) (3) (b) (6

			~		(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-	- 1/			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
196	FITNESS REPORT					EMPLOYEE SERIAL NUMBER									
SECTION															
1. NAME as					ATE OF BIRT	'Н		3. SE	<		4. GR	ADE			
Mil	ls,	Montrel]	L	E.		33	31 January 1924			Mal	.e		GS-	12	
5. SERVICE DESIGNA	SIGNATION 6. OFFICIAL POSITION TITLE								7. OF	F/DIV/	BR OF	ASSI		VΤ	
		Investigate	or							os					
8.	CARE	EER STAFF STATU	s	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		9.			TYP	E OF RE	FORT				
NOT ELIGIBLE	X	MEMBER	<u> </u>	DEFER	RED	INITIAL REASSIGNMENT/SUPERVISOR									
PENDING	DECLINED DENIED				X ANNUAL REASSIGNMENT/EMPLOYEE										
10. DATE REPORT DUE IN O.P. 11. REPORTING PERIOD From				To	SPECIAL (Specify)										
28 February	1962	2 12/31/0		_12/31											
SECTION B							CE OF SPE								
List up to six of the most important specific duties performed during the rating period. Insert rating number which best describes the manner in which employee performs EACH specific duty. Consider ONLY effectiveness in performance of that duty. All employees with supervisory responsibilities MUST be rated on their ability to supervise (indicate number of employees supervised).															
1 - Unsatisfactory		2 - Barely adequa		3 - Acc	eptable				xcelle		Superi		7 - 0	utstar	nding
SPECIFIC DUTY NO.	1 P1	ans and arra	nge	s	RATING NO.	SPE	CIFIC DUTY	10.4	Plan	s and	ore	aniz	es	F	RATING
ssignment inst	truc	tions to eff	ect			work to achieve expeditious and eco-									
investigative of	cove:	rage of pers	ons	3, 50, 1	:5 🖂	-								5	
ind things.						assignments									
SPECIFIC DUTY NO.	Ap	plies			RATING NO.	SPECIFIC DUTY NO. 5 Reports on information RATING									
to conduct of	inv	estigations	and	com-	Γ	ob:	tained th	rough investigative process-							
pletes invest:	igat	ions consist	ent		5		g and pre				onde	nce	in		4
therewith.					2471116	COI	nection	therewith.							
				*	RATING		SPECIFIC DUTY NO. 6 Executes responsibility RATING								
Performs speci						for thorough and efficient overall									
service requir	ring	tact, poise	and	d	5	conduct of investigations assigned									
judgment. SECTION C		EVALUATION	OF (WEDAL	I DEDE	EB	him an a	Rec	PORE	thia	Agen	t.			
Take into account everything about the employee which influences his effectiveness in his current position - performance of specific duties, productivity, conduct on job, cooperativeness, pertinent personal traits or habits, particular limitations or talents. Based on your knowledge of employee's overall performance during the rating period, place the rating number in the box corresponding to the statement which most accurately reflects his level of performance. 1 - Performance in many important respects fails to meet requirements. 2 - Performance meets most requirements but is deficient in one or more important respects. 3 - Performance clearly meets basic requirements. 4 - Performance clearly exceeds basic requirements.								-d							
5 - Pert	orman	ce in every import ce in every respec	tant r	espect is outstandi	superior, ng.		EMPLOYE	E				<u></u>		5	
	e rati	ng boxes below, c							ric app	lies to	the em	ploye	e .		
In the rating boxes below, check (X) the degree to which each characteristic applies to the employee 1 - Least possible degree 2 - Limited degree 3 - Normal degree 4 - Above average degree 5 - Outstanding degree															
CHARACTERISTICS		100		***************************************		N	от.	NOT			RATIN				
							PLI-	OB- ERVED	1	2	3	4	5		
GETS THINGS DONE															\mathbf{x}
RESOURCEFUL														х	
ACCEPTS RESPONSIB														-	×
CAN MAKE DECISIONS							to transition	. G.O	17:	$J^{\prime} \simeq 0$	1 71		1.5	X	T
DOES HIS JOB WITHOUT STRONG SUPPORT			o r	<u> 290 3 6 9 </u>	J.T.T.	. ·	4.1	; ":	Sec		Х				
FACILITATES SMOOTH OPERATION OF HIS OFFICE										X					
WRITES EFFECTIVELY X															
SECURITY CONSCIOUS						х									
THINKS CLEARLY					<u> </u>						X				
DISCIPLINE IN ORIGINATING, MAINTAINING AND DISPOSING OF RECORDS OTHER (Specify):			RDS	·	,,,,,,,,,,,				<u> </u>		X				
(Specity):											L	L	<u> </u>		
s			SE.	E SECTION	ON "E" (N R	EVERSE SIDI	E .				-			

SECRET
(When Filled In)

CECTION F	NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF MAN	INED OF IOD BEDEODMANCE
SECTION E	NAKKATIYE DESCRIPTION OF MAN	INEK UF JUB PEKFUKMANCE

Stress strengths and weaknesses demonstrated in current position. Indicate suggestions made to employee for improvement of his work. Give recommendations for his training. Describe, if appropriate, his potential for development and for assuming greater responsibilities. Amplify or explain, if appropriate, ratings given in SECTIONS B, C, and D to provide the best best provide the best best of the comment of the personnel actions.

AFR 3 9 17 AH 162

There has been no appreciable variation in the manner of Mills' job performance during this past rating period and the comments made on the last fitness report remain applicable.

SECTION FOCHER DE DAME DO DE DE CERTIFICATION AND COMMENTS								
larior a a cocidition can	Contours BY EMPLOYEEE		The spectrum contract of the c					
I certify that I have seen Sections A, B, C, D and E of this Report								
DATE OUT OF T	SIGNATURE OF EMPLOYEE OF TO	- 71,0	្តែក្រុកស្រុក្សុក្សុ					
			in in the second contraction of the second s					
2p koaquus oi ja konstilustosa jag kok - BY SUPERVISOR ang s som je gawong justika konocuma-								
MONTHS EMPLOYEE HAS BEEN 39 (IF THIS REPORT HAS NOT BEEN SHOWN TO EMPLOYEE, GIVE EXPLANATION OF SECOND UNDER MY SUPERVISION UNDER MY SUPERVISION								
was 64 months concerned of Willobe shown to employee at May Conference and reflective a								
asignesas insistencistoris	IF REPORT IS NOT BEING MADE AT THIS	TOME,	ME, GIVE REASON OCTITIONS DUG GGO-					
EMPLOYEE UNDER MY SUPERV	ISION LESS THAN 90 DAYS		REPORT MADE WITHIN LAST 90 DAYS					
OTHER (Specify):								
DATE	OFFICIAL TITLE OF SUPERVISOR	Į	TYPED OR PRINTED NAME AND SIGNATURE					
5 March 1962	Special Agent in Charge							
3.	BY REVIEWING OFFIC	IAL						
I MOOFD UNAS GIASIA LUIS SW	X I WOULD HAVE GIVEN THIS EMPLOYEE ABOUT THE SAME EVALUATION.							
I WOULD HAVE GIVEN THIS EM	I WOULD HAVE GIVEN THIS EMPLOYEE A HIGHER EVALUATION.							
I WOULD HAVE GIVEN THIS EMPLOYEE A LOWER EVALUATION.								
I CANNOT JUDGE THESE EVALUATIONS. I AM NOT SUFFICIENTLY FAMILIAR WITH THE EMPLOYEE'S PERFORMANCE.								
COMMENTS OF REVIEWING OFFICIA	L '							
3.) Em. es	um cons		all ter lers					
nana, Ma	abroll M. Ill J	muc	wer alab dadgas					
22 March 1962	ADDS	AL	TYPED OR F					